## 1 Outline

In this lecture, we study

- Moreau-Yosida smoothing.
- Proimxal point algorithm applied to the smoothed problem.
- Augmented Lagrangian method.


## 2 Moreau-Yosida smoothing

GIven a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Moreau-Yosida smoothing of $f$ is defined as

$$
f_{\eta}(x):=\inf _{u}\left\{f(u)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|u-x\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

for some $\eta>0$. This is also referred to as the Moreau envelope. Note that

$$
f_{\eta}(x)=f\left(\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)\right)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left\|\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)-x\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Why do we care about this? There are several nice properties of the Moreau-Yosida smoothing.

### 2.1 Convexity and smoothness

Proposition 22.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Then $f_{\eta}$ is convex.
Proof. Let

$$
g(x, u)=f(u)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|u-x\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Then $g$ is convex in $x$, and it is convex in $u$. Moerover, $f_{\eta}(x)$ is a partial minimization of $g(x, u)$ obtained after minimizing out the variables $u$. Therefore, $f_{\eta}$ is convex.

Proposition 22.2. The Fenchel conjugate of $f_{\eta}$ is given by

$$
f_{\eta}^{*}(y)=f^{*}(y)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
f_{\eta}(x)=\inf _{u+v=x}\left\{f(u)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|v\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Hence, $f_{\eta}$ is the infimal convolution of $f$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}^{2} /(2 \eta)$. This implies that

$$
f_{\eta}^{*}(y)=f^{*}(y)+\left(\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|\cdot\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{*}(y) .
$$

Note that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|\cdot\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{*}(y)=\sup _{v}\left\{y^{\top} v-\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|v\|_{2}^{2}\right\}=\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where the last equality is deduced from the optimality condition.
As a direct consequence of Proposition 22.2, we deduce the the Moreau-Yosida smoothing is smooth.
Proposition 22.3. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Then its Moreau envelope $f_{\eta}$ is $(1 / \eta)$-smooth in the $\ell_{2}$ norm.

Proof. First, as $f$ is convex, $f_{\eta}$ is convex. Since $f_{\eta}$ is convex, it is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. As $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is closed, $f_{\eta}$ is a closed function. It follows from Proposition 22.2 that the Fenchel conjugate $f_{\eta}^{*}$ of $f_{\eta}$ is $\eta$-strongly convex in the $\ell_{2}$ norm. Then the Fenchel conjugate $f_{\eta}^{* *}$ of $f_{\eta}^{*}$ is $(1 / \eta)$-smooth in the $\ell_{2}$ norm. Lastly, as $f_{\eta}$ is closed and convex, $f_{\eta}^{* *}=f_{\eta}$. Therefore, $f_{\eta}$ is also $(1 / \eta)$-smooth in the $\ell_{2}$ norm.

Let us consider an example.
Example 22.4. Let $f(x)=\|x\|_{1}$. Then

$$
f_{\eta}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\eta} L_{\eta}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where

$$
L_{\eta}(c)= \begin{cases}\eta|c|-\eta^{2} / 2, & \text { if }|c| \geq \eta \\ |c|^{2} / 2, & \text { if }|c| \leq \eta\end{cases}
$$

Here, $L_{\eta}$ is called the Huber loss (see Figure 22.1 ${ }^{1}$ ).


Figure 22.1: Huber loss
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### 2.2 Optimization of the Moreau envelope

Moreover, we can compute the gradient of the Moreau-Yosida smoothing.
Proposition 22.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex. Then

$$
\nabla f_{\eta}(x)=\operatorname{prox}_{f^{*} / \eta}\left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left(x-\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)\right) .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 22.3, $f_{\eta}$ is smooth and thus differentiable. Moreover, as $f_{\eta}$ is convex and closed, it follows that $y=\nabla f_{\eta}(x)$ if and only if $x \in \partial f_{\eta}^{*}(y)$. Note that Proposition 22.2 implies that

$$
\partial f_{\eta}^{*}(y)=\partial f^{*}(y)+\eta y^{*} .
$$

Hence, $x \in \partial f_{\eta}^{*}(y)$ if and only if $x-\eta y^{*} \in \partial f^{*}(y)$ which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{\eta} x-y^{*} \in \frac{1}{\eta} \partial f^{*}(y) .
$$

Furthermore, this is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{prox}_{f^{*} / \eta}\left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right)=y^{*}
$$

By the Moreau decomposition theorem, we have

$$
x=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)+\eta \operatorname{prox}_{f^{*} / \eta}(x / \eta),
$$

so

$$
\frac{1}{\eta}\left(x-\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)\right)=\operatorname{prox}_{f^{*} / \eta}\left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right),
$$

as required.
Proposition 22.6. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be closed. Then a minimizer of the Moreau-Yosida smoothing $f_{\eta}$ is a minimizer of $f$.

Proof. By Proposition 22.5, it follows that

$$
\nabla f_{\eta}(x)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left(x-\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)\right) .
$$

Then, by the optimality condition, $x^{*}$ is a minimizer of $f_{\eta}$ if and only if

$$
0=\nabla f_{\eta}\left(x^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left(x^{*}-\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x *)\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
x^{*}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

Note that $x^{*}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}\left(x^{*}\right)$ holds if and only if

$$
0=x^{*}-x^{*} \in \eta \partial f\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $x^{*}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}\left(x^{*}\right)$ if and only if $x^{*}$ is a minimizer of $f$.

Therefore, the problem

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad f(x)
$$

is equivalent to solving

$$
\text { minimize } \quad f_{\eta}(x)=\inf _{u}\left\{f(u)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|u-x\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

We know that $f_{\eta}$ is convex by Proposition 22.1. Hence, we can attempt to solve the problem by gradient descent. By Proposition 22.5, the gradient of $f_{\eta}$ is given by

$$
\nabla f_{\eta}(x)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left(x-\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}(x)\right) .
$$

Moreover, $f_{\eta}$ is $(1 / \eta)$-smooth by Proposition 22.3. Hence, the gradient descent update rule proceeds with step size $\eta$ given as follows

$$
x_{t+1}=x_{t}-\eta \nabla f_{\eta}\left(x_{t}\right)=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta f}\left(x_{t}\right) .
$$

This is precisely the update rule of the proximal point algorithm! This implies that the proximal point algorithm is equivalent to gradient descent applied to the smoothed objective.

## 3 Augmented Lagrangian method

We consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x) \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observed that its dual is given by

$$
\text { maximize } \quad-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)-b^{\top} \mu,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{minimize} f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu,
$$

Remember that the dual subgradient method solves the dual problem. In this section, we derive and study another algorithm that solves the dual formulation.

### 3.1 Proximal point algorithm applied to the dual

The proximal point algorithm proceeds with the following update rule.

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left\|\mu-\mu_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

By the optimality condition,

$$
0 \in-A \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right)+b+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\mu_{t+1}-\mu_{t}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right) \quad \text { where } x_{t} \in \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right) .
$$

Note that $x_{t} \in \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right)$ holds if and only if $-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1} \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top} \mu_{t+1} & \leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top}\left(\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)\right) \\
& \leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top} \mu_{t}+\eta A^{\top}\left(A x_{t}-b\right) \\
& \leftrightarrow x_{t} \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proximal point algorithm for the dual problem works with the following update rule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{t} \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
& \mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is precisely, the augmented Lagrangian method (ALM).

```
Algorithm 1 Augmented Lagrangian method
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T\) do
        Find \(x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\).
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)\).
    end for
```

Notice that the augmented Lagrangian method is the dual gradient ascent applied to the following equivalent formulation of the primal problem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the objective is strongly convex, which implies that the dual objective becomes smooth.

### 3.2 Gradient ascent to the smoothed dual

The proximal point algorithm on the dual is given by

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left\|\mu-\mu_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta h}\left(\mu_{t}\right)
$$

where

$$
h(\mu)=f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu .
$$

Remember that the proximal point algorithm is equivalent to gradient descent on the smoothed objective. The Moreau-Yosida smoothing of $h$ is given by

$$
h_{\eta}(\mu)=\inf _{\gamma}\left\{f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \gamma\right)+b^{\top} \gamma+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|\gamma-\mu\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{minimize} h_{\eta}(\mu)=-\operatorname{maximize}-h_{\eta}(\mu)
$$

is the dual of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & h_{\eta}^{*}(y) \\
\text { subject to } & -y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, what is $h_{\eta}^{*}$ ? By Proposition 22.2, we have

$$
h_{\eta}^{*}(y)=h^{*}(y)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{*}(y) & =\sup _{\mu}\left\{y^{\top} \mu-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)-b^{\top} \mu\right\} \\
& =\sup _{\mu}\left\{(y-b)^{\top} \mu-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)\right\} \\
& =\inf _{x}\{f(x):-A x=y-b\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
h_{\eta}^{*}(y)=\inf _{x}\{f(x): y=b-A x\}+\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

This implies that the dual problem is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|b-A x\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$
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