1 Outline

In this lecture, we study

- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition based on the Lagrangian dual,
- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for binary programs,
- Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for models with block diagonal structure,
- Column generation for the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation.

2 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition

Let us consider a mixed integer program

$$z_{I} = \max c^{\top} x$$

s.t. $Ax \leq b$
 $Ex \leq f$
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{p}$. (MIP)

We will learn the **Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition** framework for solving the mixed-integer program.

2.1 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition based on the Lagrangian dual

Let Q be defined as

$$Q = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+ \times \mathbb{R}^p_+ : Ax \le b \right\}.$$

Assume that Q is nonempty and that A, b have rational entries. Let m be the number of rows of E, and take $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$. Remember that we define the **Lagrangian relaxation** of (MIP) with respect to λ as follows.

$$z_{\text{LR}}(\lambda) = \max \quad c^{\top}x + \lambda^{\top}(f - Ex)$$

s.t. $Ax \leq b$
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{p}_{+}.$ (LR)

Moreover, recall that the Lagrangian dual of the mixed integer program (MIP) is defined as

$$z_{\rm LD} = \min \left\{ z_{\rm LR}(\lambda) : \ \lambda \ge 0 \right\}.$$
 (LD)

We learned that (MIP) and (LD) are related according to the following characterization of (LD).

$$z_{\text{LD}} = \max\left\{c^{\top}x: Ex \le f, x \in \text{conv}(Q)\right\}.$$

Furthermore, by the Minkowski-Weyl theorem, conv(Q) can be expressed as

$$\operatorname{conv}(Q) = \operatorname{conv}\left\{v^1, \dots, v^n\right\} + \operatorname{cone}\left\{r^1, \dots, r^\ell\right\}$$

where v^1, \ldots, v^n are the extreme points of $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$ and r^1, \ldots, r^ℓ are the extreme rays of $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$. Then any point x in $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k v^k + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \beta_h r^h$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^\ell_+$ such that

$$\sum_{k\in [n]} \alpha_k = 1.$$

Based on this, it follows that

$$z_{\text{LD}} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} (c^{\top} v^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (c^{\top} r^{h}) \beta_{k}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} (Ev^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (Er^{h}) \beta_{k} \leq f$$
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{k}_{+}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}_{+}.$$
 (DW1)

Remember that the Lagrangian dual (LD) is a relaxation of (MIP). Hence, we refer to (DW1) as the **Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation** of (MIP). Moreover, we have

$$z_I = \max\left\{c^{\top}x: Ex \le f, x \in \operatorname{conv}(Q), x_j \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall j \in [d]\right\}.$$

Therefore, we deduce

$$z_{I} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} (c^{\top} v^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (c^{\top} r^{h}) \beta_{k}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} (Ev^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (Er^{h}) \beta_{k} \leq f$$
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{k}_{+}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}_{+}$$
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} v^{k}_{j} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} \beta_{h} r^{h}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \in [d].$$
(DW2)

Here, the formulation (DW2) is referred to as the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation of (MIP).

2.2 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition as the dual of the Lagrangian dual

Recall that the Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition is given by

$$\max \sum_{k \in [n]} (c^{\top} v^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (c^{\top} r^{h}) \beta_{k}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} (Ev^{k}) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in [\ell]} (Er^{h}) \beta_{k} \leq f$$
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{k}_{+}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}_{+}.$$

is the equivalent representation of the Lagrangian dual. Let us take its dual. We use dual variable λ for the inequality constraint and dual variable μ for the equality constraint. Then we deduce

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \lambda^{\top} f + \mu \\ \text{s.t.} & \mu + (Ev^k)^{\top} \lambda \geq c^{\top} v^k, \quad k \in [n] \\ & (Er^h)^{\top} \lambda \geq c^{\top} r^h, \quad h \in [\ell] \\ & \lambda \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Note that this is equivalent to

$$\min \quad \lambda^{\top} f + \mu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mu \ge \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^k \right\} \\ \lambda \in \operatorname{dom}(z_{\operatorname{LR}})$$

because

dom
$$(z_{\text{LR}}) = \left\{ \lambda : \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} r^h \leq 0 \ \forall h \in [\ell], \ \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Eliminating the variable μ , we obtain

min
$$\lambda^{\top} f + \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^k \right\}$$

s.t. $\lambda \in \operatorname{dom}(z_{\mathrm{LR}}).$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\lambda \in \operatorname{dom}(z_{\operatorname{LR}})} \max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ \lambda^{\top} f + \left(c - E^{\top} \lambda \right)^{\top} v^{k} \right\}$$
$$= \min_{\lambda \in \operatorname{dom}(z_{\operatorname{LR}})} \underbrace{\max_{k \in [n]} \left\{ c^{\top} v^{k} + \lambda^{\top} (f - Ev^{k}) \right\}}_{z_{\operatorname{LR}}(\lambda)}$$
$$= \min \left\{ z_{\operatorname{LR}}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \operatorname{dom}(z_{\operatorname{LR}}) \right\}$$
$$= z_{\operatorname{LD}}.$$

2.3 Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition for pure binary programs

Let us consider a pure binary integer program as follows.

$$z_{I} = \max \quad c^{\top} x$$

s.t. $Ax \leq b$
 $Ex \leq f$
 $x \in \{0,1\}^{d}$. (BP)

We define Q as

$$Q = \left\{ x \in \{0,1\}^d : Ax \le b \right\}.$$

Since Q is bounded and finite,

$$Q = \left\{ v^1, \dots, v^n \right\}.$$

Then any point x in Q can be expressed as

$$x = \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k v^k, \quad \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1, \quad \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^n.$$

Then it follows that

$$z_{I} = \max \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} \leq f$$
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{k} = 1$$
$$\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{n}.$$

This formulation is the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation of (BP). Then the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation of (BP) is

$$\max \quad \sum_{k \in [n]} \left(c^{\top} v^k \right) \alpha_k$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \left(E v^k \right) \alpha_k \le f$$

$$\sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_k = 1$$

$$\alpha \ge 0$$

2.4 Problems with block diagonal structure

We consider the following optimization model

For $j \in [p]$, let Q_j be defined as

$$Q_j = \left\{ x^j \in \{0,1\}^{d_j} : A^j x^j \le b^j \right\}.$$

Here, Q_j is bounded and finite, so any point x^j in Q_j can be written as

$$x^j = \sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j v, \quad \sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j = 1, \quad \alpha^j \in \{0, 1\}^{|Q_j|}.$$

Therefore, the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation of (22.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(c^{1\top} v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(c^{2\top} v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(c^{p\top} v \right) \alpha_v^p \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(E^1 v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(E^2 v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(E^p v \right) \alpha_v^p \leq f \\ & \sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j = 1, \quad j \in [p] \\ & \alpha^j \in \{0,1\}^{|Q_j|}, \quad j \in [p]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation of (22.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(c^{1\top} v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(c^{2\top} v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(c^{p\top} v \right) \alpha_v^p \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{v \in Q_1} \left(E^1 v \right) \alpha_v^1 + \sum_{v \in Q_2} \left(E^2 v \right) \alpha_v^2 + \dots + \sum_{v \in Q_p} \left(E^p v \right) \alpha_v^p \leq f \\ & \sum_{v \in Q_j} \alpha_v^j = 1, \quad j \in [p] \\ & \alpha^j \geq 0, \quad j \in [p]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the special case where

- $c^1 = \cdots = c^p = c$,
- $E^1 = \cdots = E^p = E$,

• $Q^1 = \cdots = Q^p = Q$.

Then in the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation, we may set

$$\alpha = \alpha^1 + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^p$$

As a result, the Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation becomes

$$\max \quad \sum_{v \in Q} (c^{\top}v) \alpha_{v}$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{v \in Q} (Ev) \alpha_{v} \leq f$$

$$\sum_{v \in Q} \alpha_{v} = p$$

$$\alpha > 0.$$

3 Column generation for solving the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation

The Dantzig-Wolfe relaxation (DW1) has variables $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ for the extreme points of conv(Q) and variables $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell$ for the extreme rays of conv(Q). Therefore, n and ℓ are potentially very large. In this case, we may apply the column generation technique. Recall that the dual of (DW1) is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \lambda^{\top} f + \mu \\ \text{s.t.} & \mu + (Ev^k)^{\top} \lambda \geq c^{\top} v^k, \quad k \in [n] \\ & (Er^h)^{\top} \lambda \geq c^{\top} r^h, \quad h \in [\ell] \\ & \lambda \geq 0. \end{array}$$

The column generation procedure works as follows. We start with $N \subseteq [n]$ and $L \subseteq [\ell]$. Then we have the master problem

$$\max \quad \sum_{k \in N} \left(c^{\top} v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in L} \left(c^{\top} r^{h} \right) \beta_{k}$$

s.t.
$$\sum_{k \in N} \left(E v^{k} \right) \alpha_{k} + \sum_{h \in L} \left(E r^{h} \right) \beta_{k} \leq f$$
$$\sum_{k \in N} \alpha_{k} = 1$$
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{k}_{+}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}_{+}.$$

Given the corresponding dual solution (λ, μ) , then the associated subproblem is given by

$$\max\left\{\max_{k\in[n]}\left\{(c-E^{\top}\lambda)^{\top}v^{k}-\mu\right\}, \ \max_{h\in[\ell]}\left\{(c-E^{\top}\lambda)^{\top}r^{h}\right\}\right\}$$

If the value of the subproblem is strictly positive, then there exists $k \in [n] \setminus N$ or $h \in [\ell] \setminus L$ whose associated constraint in the dual is violated. Then we can add the corresponding variable. In fact, the subproblem can be equivalently solved by

$$\max\left\{ (c - E^{\top} \lambda)^{\top} x - \mu : x \in \operatorname{conv}(Q) \right\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \max\left\{ c^{\top} x + \lambda^{\top} (f - Ex) : x \in \operatorname{conv}(Q) \right\}.$$

If this optimization problem is unbounded, then there must exist an extreme ray r^h for some $h \in [\ell] \setminus L$ such that $(Er^h)^\top \lambda < c^\top r^h$. If it has a strictly positive finite optimum, then there exists an extreme point v^k for some $k \in [n] \setminus N$ such that $\mu + (Ev^k)^\top \lambda < c^\top v^k$.