## 1 Outline

In this lecture, we study

- Augmented Lagrangian method,
- Alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM).


## 2 Augmented Lagrangian method

We consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x) \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$

We observed that its dual is given by

$$
\operatorname{maximize} \quad-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)-b^{\top} \mu,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
(-1) \times \text { minimize } f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu,
$$

Remember that the dual subgradient method solves the dual problem. In this section, we derive and study another algorithm that solves the dual formulation.

### 2.1 Proximal point algorithm applied to the dual

The proximal point algorithm proceeds with the following update rule.

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left\|\mu-\mu_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

By the optimality condition,

$$
0 \in-A \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right)+b+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\mu_{t+1}-\mu_{t}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right) \quad \text { where } x_{t} \in \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right) \text {. }
$$

Note that $x_{t} \in \partial f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1}\right)$ holds if and only if $-A^{\top} \mu_{t+1} \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top} \mu_{t+1} & \leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top}\left(\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)\right) \\
& \leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)+A^{\top} \mu_{t}+\eta A^{\top}\left(A x_{t}-b\right) \\
& \leftrightarrow x_{t} \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the proximal point algorithm for the dual problem works with the following update rule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{t} \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
& \mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is precisely, the augmented Lagrangian method (ALM).

```
Algorithm 1 Augmented Lagrangian method
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T\) do
        Find \(x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x}\left\{f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-b)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\).
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-b\right)\).
    end for
```

Notice that the augmented Lagrangian method is the dual gradient method applied to the following equivalent formulation of the primal problem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the objective is strongly convex, which implies that the dual objective becomes smooth.

### 2.2 Moreau-Yosida smoothing of the dual

In the previous section, we saw that the proximal point algorithm applied to the dual is equivalent to the gradient method applied to the dual of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the previous lecture, we learned that the proximal point algorithm is equivalent to the gradient method applied to the Moreau-Yosida smoothing. Does this imply that the dual of the augmented problem $\min \left\{f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2}: A x=b\right\}$ is equivalent to the Moreau-Yosida smoothing of the dual of the original problem $\min \{f(x): A x=b\}$ ?
Let us derive the Moreau-Yosida smoothing of the dual of the original problem $\min \{f(x): A x=b\}$. Recall that the dual of

$$
\min \{f(x): A x=b\}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
(-1) \times \quad \times \quad \text { minimize } \quad h(\mu)=f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+b^{\top} \mu .
$$

Then the Moreau-Yosida smoothing of the dual $\min \{h(\mu)\}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad h_{\eta}(\mu)
$$

where

$$
h_{\eta}(\mu)=\inf _{u}\left\{h(u)+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|u-\mu\|_{2}^{2}\right\}=\inf _{u}\left\{f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} u\right)+b^{\top} u+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\|u-\mu\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

is the Moreau-Yosida smoothing of $h(\mu)$.
Next we claim that $\min \left\{h_{\eta}(\mu)\right\}$ is equal to the dual of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-b\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$

To show this, we take the dual of $\min \left\{h_{\eta}(\mu)\right\}$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{minimize} h_{\eta}(\mu)=\operatorname{minimize} h_{\eta}\left(-(-I)^{\top} \mu\right)+0^{\top} \mu
$$

Therefore, the dual of $\min \left\{h_{\eta}(\mu)\right\}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & h_{\eta}^{*}(y) \\
\text { subject to } & -y=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$
h_{\eta}^{*}(y)=h^{*}(y)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{*}(y) & =\sup _{\mu}\left\{y^{\top} \mu-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)-b^{\top} \mu\right\} \\
& =\sup _{\mu}\left\{-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)-(b-y)^{\top} \mu\right\} \\
& =\inf _{x}\{f(x): A x=b-y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from strong duality. Then

$$
h_{\eta}^{*}(y)=\inf _{x}\{f(x): y=b-A x\}+\frac{\eta}{2}\|y\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

This implies that the dual problem is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|b-A x\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Dual of composite minimization

We consider

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad f(x)+g(A x),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x)+g(y) \\
\text { subject to } & A x=y
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, it can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & f(x)+g(y) \\
\text { subject to } & A x-y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Algorithm 2 Dual gradient method for composite problems
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T-1\) do
        Obtain \(x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x} f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top} A x\) and \(y_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{y} g(y)-\mu_{t}^{\top} y\).
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta_{t}\left(A x_{t}-y_{t}\right)\) for a step size \(\eta_{t}>0\).
    end for
```

Then we may apply the dual gradient method developed for separable objective functions.
Basically, at each iteration, we minimize the Lagrangian function at $\mu=\mu_{t}$ :

$$
f(x)+g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-y) .
$$

Instead, the augmented Lagrangian method considers the augmented Lagrangian function given by

$$
f(x)+g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-y)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-y\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Here, $\mu_{t}$ changes over iterations while $\eta$ remains constant.

```
Algorithm 3 Augmented Lagrangian method for composite problems
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T-1\) do
        Obtain \(\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right) \in \operatorname{argmin}_{(x, y)} f(x)+g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}(A x-y)+\frac{\eta}{2}\|A x-y\|_{2}^{2}\),
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-y_{t}\right)\).
    end for
```

As we observed that the augmented Lagrangian method is equivalent to the proximal point algorithm applied to the dual, we will check this for the composite optimization problem as well.

### 3.1 Proximal gradient applied to the dual

Let us apply the proximal gradient method to the dual of the composite problem. Throughout this subsection, let us assume that $f^{*}$ is differentiable. Again, the dual is given by

$$
\operatorname{minimize} f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)+g^{*}(\mu) .
$$

The proximal gradient method proceeds with

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta g^{*}}\left(\mu_{t}+\eta A \nabla f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t}\right)\right)
$$

since the gradient of $h(\mu)=f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)$ is $\nabla h(\mu)=-A \nabla f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu\right)$. Moreover, $x_{t}=\nabla f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} \mu_{t}\right)$ if and only if $-A^{\top} \mu_{t} \in \partial f\left(x_{t}\right)$ which is equivalent to $x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x} f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top} A x$. Hence, the update rule is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{t} \in \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top} A x, \\
& \mu_{t+1}=\operatorname{prox}_{\eta g^{*}}\left(\mu_{t}+\eta A x_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, by the Moreau decomposition theorem, it follows that

$$
\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta A x_{t}-\eta \operatorname{prox}_{g / \eta}\left(\mu_{t} / \eta+A x_{t}\right) .
$$

Here, $y_{t}=\operatorname{prox}_{g / \eta}\left(\mu_{t} / \eta+A x_{t}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\mu_{t}}{\eta}+A x_{t}-y_{t} \in \frac{1}{\eta} \partial g\left(y_{t}\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
y_{t} \in \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}\left(A x_{t}-y\right)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|A x_{t}-y\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, the proximal gradient descent applied to the dual is given by the following pseudo-code.

```
Algorithm 4 Proximal gradient for composite problems
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T-1\) do
        Obtain \(x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x} f(x)+\mu_{t}^{\top} A x\),
        Obtain \(y_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\{g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}\left(A x_{t}-y\right)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|A x_{t}-y\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\),
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-y_{t}\right)\).
    end for
```


### 3.2 ADMM

Lastly, we discuss the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM). Its pseudo-code is given by the following.

```
Algorithm 5 Alternating direction method of multipliers
    Initialize \(\mu_{1}\) and \(y_{0}\).
    for \(t=1, \ldots, T-1\) do
        Obtain \(x_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x}\left\{f(x)+g\left(y_{t-1}\right)+\mu_{t}^{\top}\left(A x-y_{t-1}\right)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|A x-y_{t-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\),
        Obtain \(y_{t} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{y}\left\{f\left(x_{t}\right)+g(y)+\mu_{t}^{\top}\left(A x_{t}-y\right)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|A x_{t}-y\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\}\),
        Update \(\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\eta\left(A x_{t}-y_{t}\right)\).
    end for
```

ADMM is equivalent to the Douglas-Rachford splitting method applied to the dual problem.

