# 1 Outline

In this lecture, we cover

- Value at Risk (VaR),
- Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR),
- modeling with CVaR.

# 2 VaR and CVaR

Suppose that we have eight scenarios with equal probability. Consider a decision x with the following outcomes.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$p_i$	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8
$g(x,\xi_i)$	100	7	5	4	3	1	0	-2

How can we judge how "risky" the decision is? Note that

- Expectation: 29.5.
- Worst-case value: 100.

However, 7 out of the 8 scenarios have values at most 7.

• What about looking at the **2nd highest value** instead?

The second highest value is 7, and this perhaps better represents the risk of decision x. Consider an alternative decision x'.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$p_i$	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8
$g(x',\xi_i)$	20	7	5	4	3	1	0	-2

Here, the second-highest value for decision x' is also 7. However, we know that x has a worse value than x' in the worst case. Therefore, we should capture the difference between x and x' somehow.

• What about the average of the two highest values?

For x, we have (100 + 7)/2 = 53.5, while for x', we have (20 + 7)/2 = 13/5.

The following two risk measures essentially capture these ideas.

- Value-at-Risk (VaR): Look at the *k*th largest value  $\rightarrow$  the (k + 1)th largest value among  $g(x, \xi_1), \ldots, g(x, \xi_N)$ .
- Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVaR): Look at the average of the top k values among  $g(x, \xi_1), \ldots, g(x, \xi_N)$ .

### 2.1 Risk measure 3: Value-at-Risk (VaR)

Assume that we have likelikhood weights  $p_i$  for each scenario  $\xi_i$  and the distribution  $\hat{P}_N$  with

$$\mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_N} \left[ \xi = \xi_i \right] = p_i, \quad i \in [N]$$

Fix some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then the Value-at-Risk at level  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha$ -VaR is the risk measure defined as

$$\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) = \min\left\{t: \mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_{N}}\left[g(x,\xi) \leq t\right] > \alpha\right\}$$
$$\to \min\left\{t: \mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_{N}}\left[g(x,\xi) \leq t\right] \geq \alpha\right\}.$$

This is also referred to as the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $\hat{P}_N$ .

When  $p_i = 1/N$  for  $i \in [N]$  and  $\alpha = 1 - k/N$ , then  $\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi); \hat{P}_N\right)$  is exactly the  $k \neq h \to (k+1)$ th largest value among  $g(x,\xi_1), \ldots, g(x,\xi_N)$ .

Example 19.1. Suppose that we have

	1	2	3	4	5	6
U		0.15	0 1		0	0 1
$p_i$	0.05	0.15	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1
$g(x,\xi_i)$	10	8	6	3	2	-2
$\mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_N} \left[ g(x,\xi) \le g(x,\xi_i) \right]$	1	0.95	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.1

Then

• VaR<sub>0.98</sub> 
$$\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = 10$$

- VaR<sub>0.95</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = 10 \rightarrow 8.$
- VaR<sub>0.85</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = 8.$
- VaR<sub>0.8</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = \not{8} \rightarrow 6.$
- VaR<sub>0.7</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = \mathbf{\cancel{\beta}} \to \mathbf{3}.$
- VaR<sub>0.6</sub>  $(g(x,\xi); \hat{P}_N) = 3.$

### 2.2 Risk measure 4: Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVaR)

Assume that we have likelikhood weights  $p_i$  for each scenario  $\xi_i$  and the distribution  $\hat{P}_N$  with

$$\mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_N} \left[ \xi = \xi_i \right] = p_i, \quad i \in [N].$$

Fix some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Then the **Conditional Value-at-Risk** at level  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha$ -**CVaR** is the risk measure defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) &:= \max \quad \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\sum_{i\in[N]}z_{i}\cdot g(x,\xi_{i})\\ \text{s.t.} \quad 0\leq z_{i}\leq p_{i}, \quad i\in[N]\\ \sum_{i\in[N]}z_{i}&=1-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

What is this? To compute the value of  $\alpha$ -CVaR, we need to solve a linear program. In fact, although it is a linear program, we can find an optimal solution by a **greedy algorithm** as follows.

- 1. Suppose that  $g(x,\xi_1) \ge g(x,\xi_2) \ge \cdots \ge g(x,\xi_N)$ .
- 2. Initialize budget =  $1 \alpha$  and i = 1.
- 3. While budget > 0 do
  - Set  $z_i = \min\{p_i, \text{ budget}\}.$
  - budget  $\leftarrow$  budget  $-z_i$ .
  - $\bullet \ i \leftarrow i+1.$

Basically, to maximize the objective, we assign high weights to risky scenarios under the weight limit of  $p_i$  on scenario  $\xi_i$  for  $i \in [N]$ .

Example 19.2. Suppose that we have

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
$p_i$	0.05	0.15	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1
$g(x,\xi_i)$	10	8	6	3	2	-2

Then

- $\operatorname{CVaR}_{0.98}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.02)/0.02 = 10.$
- CVaR<sub>0.95</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.05)/0.05 = 10.$
- CVaR<sub>0.85</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi); \hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.05 + 8 \times 0.1)/0.15 = 8.666 \cdots$
- CVaR<sub>0.8</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.05 + 8 \times 0.15)/0.2 = 8.5.$
- CVaR<sub>0.7</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.05 + 8 \times 0.15 + 6 \times 0.1)/0.3 = 7.666 \cdots$
- CVaR<sub>0.6</sub>  $\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) = (10 \times 0.05 + 8 \times 0.15 + 6 \times 0.1 + 3 \times 0.1)/0.4 = 6.5.$

More formally, the greedy algorithm is described as follows.

1. Order the scenarios so that

$$g(x,\xi_{\sigma(1)}) \geq \cdots \geq g(x,\xi_{\sigma(N)}).$$

Note that the ordering  $\sigma$  depends on the decision x.

2. Find the largest k for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\sigma(i)} \le 1 - \alpha.$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\sigma(i)} > 1 - \alpha$$

Note that

3. Compute

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\sigma(i)} \cdot g(x, \xi_{\sigma(i)}) + \left(1 - \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\sigma(i)}\right) g(x, \xi_{\sigma(k+1)}).$$

4. Then

$$\operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) = \frac{V}{1-\alpha}.$$

Why is it called "conditional" value-at-risk? An intuition for this is as follows. If

$$\mathbb{P}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_N}\left[g(x,\xi) > \operatorname{VaR}_\alpha\left(g(x,\xi); \hat{P}_N\right)\right] = 1 - \alpha$$

then

$$\operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim \hat{P}_{N}}\left[g(x,\xi) \mid g(x,\xi) > \operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right)\right].$$

### 3 Modeling with CVaR

To model CVaR, we take the dual of the linear program. By strong duality, we have

$$\operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) := \min \quad t + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_{i}r_{i}$$
  
s.t.  $r \ge 0$   
 $t + r_{i} \ge g(x,\xi_{i}), \quad i \in [N].$ 

Then it follows that

$$\operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right)\leq 0$$

is equivalent to the constraints

$$\begin{split} t + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i r_i &\leq 0 \\ r &\geq 0 \\ t + r_i &\geq g(x,\xi_i), \quad i \in [N] \end{split}$$

Therefore, if each  $g(x,\xi_i)$  is linearly representable, then  $\text{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_N\right) \leq 0$  is also linearly representable. In summary,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & \operatorname{CVaR}_{\alpha}\left(g(x,\xi);\hat{P}_{N}\right) \leq 0 \\ & x \in \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & t + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{i \in [N]} p_i r_i \leq 0 \\ & r \geq 0 \\ & t + r_i \geq g(x, \xi_i), \quad i \in [N]. \end{array}$$