1 Outline

In this lecture, we study training neural networks from the perspective of Lagrangian duality.

2 Neural Networks

Let us consider a neural network with a single hidden layer illustrated as in Figure 15.1. Given the



Figure 15.1: Single hidden layer neural network

predictor variable vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the neural network can model the response variable $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[y \mid x\right] = w_2^\top \sigma(W_1 x) \tag{15.1}$$

where

- W_1x is the output of the input layer,
- σ is an activation function,
- w_2 is the weight vector that the hidden layer applies.

Common choices for an activation function include

- sigmoid function: $\sigma(z) = 1/(1 + e^{-z}),$
- Tanh function: $\sigma(z) = (e^z z^{-z})/(e^z + e^{-z}),$
- ReLU: $\sigma(z) = \max\{0, z\}.$

Note that the output of the input layer W_1x is a vector with multiple components, and $\sigma(W_1x)$ applies the activation function on individual components of the vector. Basically, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma(z) = (\sigma(z_1), \ldots, \sigma(z_d))$.

2.1 Multiple Hidden Layers

We may generalize the single hidden layer neural network to a neural network with multiple hidden layers. Moreover, we consider the scenario where the response variable $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ is vector-valued. The predictor variable is given by $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$. Then we use a neural network $f_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ given as



Figure 15.2: Fully connected feedforward neural network

follows.

$$f_{\theta}(x) = W^L \sigma(W^{L-1}(\cdots \sigma(W^2 \sigma(W^1 x)) \cdots))$$
(15.2)

where

- $W_1 x$ is the output of the input layer,
- there are L-1 hidden layers,
- the *i*th hidden layer receives $W^i(\cdots \sigma(W^2\sigma(W^1x))\cdots))$ and outputs $W^{i+1}\sigma(W^i(\cdots))$ for $i \in [L-1]$,
- θ represents the collection of all parameters $\theta = (W^1, \dots, W^L)$.

Note that the neural network f_{θ} given in (15.2) has a complex composite structure. Another way to represent f_{θ} is to use the following recursion:

$$z^{0} = x, \quad h^{1} = W^{1}z^{0},$$

$$z^{1} = \sigma(h^{1}), \quad h^{2} = W^{2}z^{1},$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$z^{L-1} = \sigma(h^{L-1}), \quad h^{L} = W^{L}z^{L-1}.$$

Here, z^0 is the input. For i = 1, ..., L - 1, the *i*th hidden layer receives h^i and outputs z^i . We often call h^i **pre-activation** and z^i **post-activation**. Note that z^i is multiplied by W^{i+1} as it moves from the *i*th layer to the i + 1th layer to become $h^{i+1} = W^{i+1}z^i$.

The most general setting is to consider bias terms, in which case we get

$$f_{\theta}(x) = W^{L} \sigma(W^{L-1}(\cdots \sigma(W^{2} \sigma(W^{1} x + b^{1}) + b^{2}) \cdots) + b^{L-1}) + b^{L}$$

and

$$\begin{split} z^0 &= x, \quad h^1 = W^1 z^0 + b^1, \\ z^1 &= \sigma(h^1), \quad h^2 = W^2 z^1 + b^2, \\ &\vdots & \vdots \\ z^{L-1} &= \sigma(h^{L-1}), \quad h^L = W^L z^{L-1} + b^L. \end{split}$$

For a simpler presentation, we omit the bias terms and consider (15.2).

Suppose that we have *n* data points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$. Then we want to choose the parameter θ so that the predicted outcome $f_{\theta}(x_i)$ is close to y_i . For a loss function $\ell : \mathbb{R}^{d_y} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_y} \to \mathbb{R}$, we consider

$$\min_{\theta} \quad F(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, f_{\theta}(x_i)). \tag{15.3}$$

For regression, we typically take the squared loss function $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = \|y - \hat{y}\|_2^2$. For binary classification, we take $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = \log(1 + \exp(-y\hat{y}))$.

To find a parameter θ minimizing the loss function $F(\theta)$ given in (15.3), we may apply gradient descent:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t \nabla_\theta F(\theta_t).$$

Applying stochastic gradient descent, we sample a data point (x, y) and take

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\theta} \ell(y, f_{\theta}(x)).$$

Here, let alone the non-convexity of $F(\theta)$ and $\ell(y, f_{\theta}(x))$, we need to consider the complex composite structure of the function $\ell(y, f_{\theta}(x))$ from multiple layers in the neural network. **Backpropagation** allows efficient computation of the gradient for training neural networks.

2.2 Connection to Linear Regression

Recall that linear regression is to learn the linear model with coefficient vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$y = w^{\top} x$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the predictor variable and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the response variable. Given n data points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, we consider

$$\min_{w} \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w^{\top} x_i)^2$$

Note that the linear model is equivalent to a neural network with no hidden layer.

2.3 Connection to Matrix Factorization

We discussed the matrix factorization problem where the goal is to approximate an $n \times p$ matrix D by the product of two low rank matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$:

$$D \simeq UV^{\top}$$

Recall that the matrix factorization problem can be solved by

$$\min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, \ V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}} \quad \left\| D - UV^{\top} \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(15.4)

For $i \in [n]$, let $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ denote the *i*th row of *D*, and let $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the *i*th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then it follows that

$$\left\| D - UV^{\top} \right\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| y_{i} - VU^{\top} x_{i} \right\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Then (15.4) is equivalent to

$$\min_{W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - W_2 W_1 x_i\|_2^2.$$

Hence, the matrix factorization problem reduces to a neural network with a single hidden layer and without an activation function.

3 Backpropagation and Lagrangian Duality

Let us consider the following composite optimization problem.

$$\min_{\theta} \quad f(g(\theta)).$$

Here, the problem can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(z) \\ \text{s.t.} & z = g(\theta) \end{array}$$

Taking the Lagrangian, we get

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, z, \mu) = f(z) - \mu(z - g(\theta)).$$

Applying KKT conditions,

$$0 = \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, z, \mu) = \mu g'(\theta)$$

$$0 = \nabla_z \mathcal{L}(\theta, z, \mu) = f'(z) - \mu$$

$$0 = \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{L}(\theta, z, \mu) = -z + g(\theta).$$

This implies that

$$0 = \mu g'(\theta) = f'(z)g'(\theta) = f'(g(\theta))g'(\theta),$$

which basically is the chain rule. Hence, the dual formulation does implement backpropagation!

In general, let us consider a composite function given by the following procedure. We consider what we call a **computation graph** G where



Figure 15.3: Computation graph

- G = (N, A) is a directed acyclic graph,
- |N| = m,
- $\alpha(i) = \{k \in N : (k, i) \in A\}$ denotes the set of ancestors of i for $i \in N$,
- $\beta(i) = \{j \in N : (i, j) \in A\}$ denotes the set of successors of i for $i \in N$.

For each node $i \in N$, we declare a variable z_i . Here,

- z_1, \ldots, z_d are the input variables, i.e., $\theta = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)$,
- z_{d+1}, \ldots, z_{m-1} are the intermediate variables,
- z_m is the output,
- $z_{\alpha(i)}$ collects the variables z_k for $k \in \alpha(i)$,
- the output of node $i \in N$ is given by $f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})$.

Here, the problem can be reformulated as

min
$$z_m$$

s.t. $z_i = f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})$ for $i = d + 1, \dots, m$.

The Lagrangian of this formulation is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(z,\mu) = z_m - \sum_{i=d+1}^m \mu_i(z_i - f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})).$$

Here, we apply KKT conditions.

- 1. Setting $\nabla_{\mu_i}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, we obtain $z_i = f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})$. This is basically the **forward pass**.
- 2. Setting $\nabla_{z_m} \mathcal{L} = 0$, we deduce

$$\nabla_{z_m} \mathcal{L} = 1 - \mu_m = 0,$$

which implies $\mu_m = 1$.

3. Setting $\nabla_{z_j} \mathcal{L} = 0$ for j < m, we deduce

$$0 = \nabla_{z_j} \mathcal{L}$$

= $-\mu_j + \sum_{i \in \beta(j)} \mu_i \frac{\partial f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})}{\partial z_j},$

which implies

$$\mu_j = \sum_{i \in \beta(j)} \mu_i \frac{\partial f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})}{\partial z_j}.$$

Here, this is the **backward pass**.

Theorem 15.1. For $d + 1 \le j \le m$, we have

$$\mu_j = \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial z_j}.$$

Proof. We apply induction on j. For j = m, note that $f(\theta) = f_m(z_{\alpha(m)}) = z_m$. Since $1 = \mu_m$, we satisfy

$$\frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial z_m} = \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial z_m} = 1 = \mu_m.$$

For j < m, we have

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{i \in \beta(j)} \mu_i \frac{\partial f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})}{\partial z_j}$$
$$= \sum_{i \in \beta(j)} \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial f_i(z_{\alpha(i)})}{\partial z_j}$$
$$= \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial z_j}$$

where the second equality holds due to the induction hypothesis.