Lecture 2: augmenting path algorithm

Dabeen Lee

Industrial and Systems Engineering, KAIST

2025 Winter Lecture Series on Combinatorial Optimization

January 13, 2025

Outline

- Augmenting path algorithm
- Alternating tree procedure

Goal of this lecture

- The greedy algorithm that finds a maximal matching in a bipartite graph whose size is always at least half of the maximum size of a matching.
- Hence, the greedy algorithm is a constant **approximation algorithm**.
- In this section, we will introduce an algorithm that is guaranteed to compute a maximum matching of a bipartite graph.
- The central idea of the algorithm lies in the concept of augmenting paths, so the algorithm is referred to as the augmenting path algorithm.

- Let G = (V, E) be a bipartite graph, and let M be a matching of G.
- We say that a vertex $v \in V$ is *M*-exposed if v is not connected to an edge in *M*.
- We say that a sequence of edges e₁,..., e_k is *M*-alternating if for every two consecutive edges e_i and e_{i+1}, either e_i ∈ M, e_{i+1} ∉ M or e_i ∉ M, e_{i+1} ∈ M holds.



Figure: an *M*-alternating path and an *M*-augmenting path

• An *M*-augmenting path is an *M*-alternating path if the first and last vertices are *M*-exposed.

• The key idea is that if there is an *M*-augmenting path, we can improve the matching.



Figure: improving the matching by an augmenting path

- On the augmenting path, we switch the role of the matching edges and that of the edges not in the matching.
- In other words, we remove every edge $e \in M$ from M and add every edge $e \notin M$ to M.

 To formalize the idea, we take an *M*-augmenting path *P*. We define the symmetric difference of *M* and *P*, denoted *M* ⊕ *P*, as

 $M \oplus P = (M \setminus P) \cup (P \setminus M).$

- Hence, an edge $e \in E$ belongs to $M \oplus P$ if and only if e is contained in precisely one of M and P.
- Taking the symmetric difference of the matching *M* and an *M*-augmenting path *P*, a change is made only on the edges of *P*.
- If $P = e_1, \ldots, e_{2\ell-1}$ for some $\ell \ge 1$ with $e_1, e_3 \ldots, e_{2\ell-1} \notin M$ and $e_2, e_4, \ldots, e_{2\ell-2} \in M$, we get $e_1, e_3 \ldots, e_{2\ell-1} \in M$ and $e_2, e_4, \ldots, e_{2\ell-2} \notin M$ after taking the symmetric difference.



• Then *P* becomes an alternating path with one more matching edge.

Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a graph, not necessarily bipartite. Let M be a matching, and let P be an M-augmenting path. Then $M \oplus P$ is a matching of G with $|M \oplus P| = |M| + 1$.

Augmenting path algorithm

• The lemma leads to a natural algorithm that iteratively improves the given matching for a bipartite graph by finding an augmenting path.

Algorithm 1 Augmenting path algorithm for maximum bipartite matching

```
Initialize M = \emptyset.

while there is an M-augmenting path do

Find an M-augmenting path P

Update M as M = M \oplus P

end while

Return M
```

Correctness

Theorem

Let G = (V, E) be a graph, not necessarily bipartite, and let M be a matching. Then M is a maximum matching if and only if there is no M-augmenting path in G.

Correctness

Computational complexity

- In addition to its correctness, we also care about its computational complexity, measuring the amount of computational costs to terminate.
- For the augmenting path algorithm, we will analyze its **time complexity** or **iteration complexity**.
- Recall that each augmenting path increases the size of a matching by 1 while the maximum size of a matching is at most |V|/2.
- Therefore, the number times the while loop is incurred is at most |V|/2.
- Then, what remains is to analyze the computational complexity of finding an *M*-augmenting path.
- We will show that an *M*-augmenting path can be found in O(|E|) time.

Trees

- We say that two distinct vertices *u* and *v* are **connected** if there is an *uv*-path.
- We say that a graph is connected if any of its two distinct vertices are connected.
- A connected graph is a **tree** if any two distinct vertices are connected by exactly one path.



Figure: a tree and its hierarchical representation

- The terminology comes from the fact that a tree can be depicted in a hierarchical fashion.
- First, we take any vertex v as a **root** and expand the tree with its neighbors.
- We say that a vertex of degree 1 in a tree is a **leaf** vertex.
- Then we take all leaf vertices and add their neighbors to the tree on the next level.

Forests

- The **connected components** of a graph are its maximal connected subgraphs.
- A forest is a graph all of whose connected components are trees.

Exercise

A graph is a forest if and only if it has no cycle as a subgraph.

Algorithm 2 Alternating tree algorithm to find an *M*-augmenting path

```
Input: a bipartite graph G = (V, E) and a matching M
while there is an M-exposed vertex in G do
   Take an M-exposed vertex r and set it as the root.
   Initialize T = \{r\} and L = \{r\}
   while L \neq \emptyset do
       Take a vertex \mu \in I
       if u has a neighbor that is M-exposed then
           Return the path from the root to u on T.
       else
           for every neighbor v do
               Take the vertex w such that vw \in M
               Update T = T \cup \{v, w\} and L = (L \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{w\}
           end for
       end if
   end while
   Delete all vertices in T from G
end while
```

• The algorithm builds a tree structure starting from an *M*-exposed vertex as its root.



• We call such a tree an *M*-alternating tree.

Theorem

Let G = (V, E) be a bipartite graph, and let M be a matching. If Algorithm 2 does not return an M-augmenting path, then G contains no M-augmenting path as a subgraph.



Figure: the first *M*-alternating tree



Figure: a partition of V with M-alternating trees



Figure: another illustration of the partition

Computational complexity

- Note that an edge is enumerated when one of its endpoints is part of an alternating tree.
- Hence, an edge is considered at most twice while running the alternating tree procedure.
- Therefore, the number of iterations required is O(|E|).
- Recall that the number of while loops incurred for Algorithm 1 is O(|V|).
- As a result, the computational complexity of Algorithm 1 is O(|V||E|).